



Strategy

Engineering

Title: **Tender Technical Evaluation
Strategy Vryburg Roof Repair
Project**

Unique Identifier:

**363-ERE-CDDD-
D00185-65**

Alternative Reference Number:

N/A

Area of Applicability:

Engineering

Documentation Type:

Strategy

Revision:

1

Total Pages:

12

Next Review Date:

N/A

Disclosure Classification:

**CONTROLLED
DISCLOSURE**

Compiled by

PP - Kameel Burath
Byron Thomas

Engineer

Date: 03/03/2026

Functional Responsibility

Burton Witbooi

Senior Advisor: Architecture

Date: 03/03/2026

Authorised by

Ronald Mandavha

Engineering Manager

Date: 04/03/2026

CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES	3
2.1 SCOPE	3
2.1.1 Purpose	3
2.1.2 Applicability	3
2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	3
2.2.1 Normative	3
2.2.2 Informative	3
2.3 DEFINITIONS	3
2.3.1 Classification	4
2.4 ABBREVIATIONS	4
2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	4
2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING	4
2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	4
3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY	5
3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD	5
3.2 TET MEMBERS	5
3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	6
3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA	7
3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES	10
3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS	11
3.6.1 Risks	11
3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions	11
4. AUTHORISATION	12
5. REVISIONS	12
6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM	12
7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	12

TABLES

Table 1: TET Members	5
Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria	6
Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria	7
Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities	10
Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks	11
Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks	11
Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	11
Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions	11

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

1. INTRODUCTION

On the 4th of August an inspection of the Vryburg roof was conducted for the local roof collapse, based on the findings a scope was developed to replacement the roof and impacted ceiling services, address concerns regarding excessive vibrations which were identified in previous inspection and address minor refurbishments.

This document contains the Tender Technical Criteria for the appointment of the Contractor to conduct the works.

2. SUPPORTING CLAUSES

2.1 SCOPE

This document provides the tender technical evaluation strategy for the required works of the Vryburg Roof Repair Project.

2.1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this tender technical evaluation strategy is to define the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria, Qualitative Evaluation Criteria and TET member responsibilities for tender technical evaluation. The technical evaluation strategy serves as basis for the tender technical evaluation process.

2.1.2 Applicability

This document is applicable to the Vryburg Roof Repair Project

2.2 NORMATIVE/INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

Parties using this document shall apply the most recent edition of the documents listed in the following paragraphs.

2.2.1 Normative

[1] 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.2.2 Informative

[2] 363-ERE-CEEC-D00035-7 Vryburg Roof Repair and refurbishment Project Technical Specification Rev 1

2.3 DEFINITIONS

Definition	Description
Contractor/Tenderer	Refers to the corporation appointed to perform the engineering, procurement, and construction works required for the project.
Employer	Refers to Eskom Holdings State Owned Company

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

Definition	Description
Eskom Plant Engineering	Refers to the Eskom Engineering team who will perform the reviews and provide technical assistance for the work performed by the appointed Contractor.
Specification	The document/s forming part of the contract in which the methods of executing the various items of work to be done is described, as well as the nature and quality of the materials to be supplied and it includes technical schedules and drawings attached thereto as well as all samples and patterns
The Client	The end user will be Eskom who will be represented by Eskom Properties throughout the duration of the Project.

2.3.1 Classification

Controlled Disclosure: Controlled Disclosure to external parties (either enforced by law, or discretionary).

2.4 ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
BoQ	Bill of Quantity
CoC	Certificate of Compliance
DoL	Department of Labour
ECSA	Engineering Council of South Africa
EDWL	Engineering Design Work Lead
LDE	Lead Discipline Engineer
TET	Technical Evaluation Team
RFP	Request For Proposal

2.5 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

N/A as per 240-48929482: Tender Technical Evaluation Procedure

2.6 PROCESS FOR MONITORING

N/A

2.7 RELATED/SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3. TENDER TECHNICAL EVALUATION STRATEGY

3.1 TECHNICAL EVALUATION THRESHOLD

Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria (gatekeepers) are 'must meet' criteria. These criteria shall not be weighted, or point scored but shall be assessed on a Yes/No basis as to whether the criteria are met. An assessment of 'No' against any criterion shall technically disqualify the tenderer and shall not be further evaluated against Qualitative Criteria.

Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria are weighted evaluation criteria used to identify the highest technically ranked tenderer after determining that all the Mandatory Evaluation Criteria have been met. The Qualitative Evaluation Criteria are weighted to reflect the relevant importance of each criterion. The minimum weighted final score (threshold) required for a tender to be considered from a technical perspective is 70%.

The following scoring method will be used:

SCORE	PERCENTAGE (%)	DESCRIPTION
5	100	COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meet the technical requirement(s) AND, No foreseen technical risk(s) in meeting technical requirements
4	80	COMPLIANT WITH ASSOCIATED QUALIFICATIONS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meet the technical requirement(s) with, Acceptable technical risks AND/OR; Acceptable exceptions AND/OR; Acceptable conditions
2	40	NON-COMPLIANT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not meet the technical requirement(s) AND/OR Unacceptable technical risk(s) AND/OR; Unacceptable exceptions AND/OR; Unacceptable conditions
0	0	TOTALLY DEFICIENT/NON-RESPONSIVE

3.2 TET MEMBERS

Table 1: TET Members

TET number	TET Member Name	Designation
TET 1	Burton Witbooi	Senior Advisor: Architecture
TET 2	Byron Thomas	Engineer: Civil
TET 3	Mdu Vilakazi	Engineer: Electrical

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.

3.3 MANDATORY TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 2: Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Mandatory Technical Criteria Description	Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Motivation for use of Criteria
1.	Proof of Registration as Electrical Contractor with the Department of Labour by the Principal contractor OR Joint Venture (JV) OR Sub-contractor.	A valid DOL electrical Contractor registration certificate with registered persons appearing on the DOL document and company organogram. "A CV and certificate of registration as an Installation Electrician for a personnel or Company.	Electrical Contractors are required by Law to be registered with the Department of Labour. Electrical Installation Regulations.
2.	Provide a copy of ECSA certificate for the Civil Professional Engineer/Technologist that will be responsible for the Civil works	Technical Specification Section 3.3.2.2	Required for design and sign off per the Technical Specification
3.	Provide certificate of a registered Architectural Professional with certificate of registration with the South African Council of Architectural Profession who is in good standing in category; Professional Architect or; Professional Senior Architectural Technologists or; Professional Architectural Technologists	Technical Specification	Required for design and sign off per the Technical Specification

3.4 QUALITATIVE TECHNICAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Table 3: Qualitative Technical Evaluation Criteria

	Qualitative Technical Criteria Description		Reference to Technical Specification / Tender Returnable	Criteria Weighting (%)	Criteria Sub Weighting (%)
1.	Architectural			30	
	1.1	<p>Provide a copy of the CV and Qualifications of the registered Architectural Professional</p> <p>That will show;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5 years' experience, • Showing similar scope of work post professional registration. <p>This professional will be responsible for Design & updating drawings, submission to local authority obtaining approval and obtaining occupancy certificate from local authority</p>	Tenderer to supply a CV and qualifications of the Architectural Professional that will be the design authority on the project in terms of Local Authority submission and occupancy. The Architectural Professional must have a minimum 5 year's experience Post Professional Registration.	<p>5 – if the architectural professional meets the required years and type of experience</p> <p>4 – if the architectural professional is deficit with the type of experience but still meets the required minimum years post registration</p> <p>2 - if the architectural professional is deficit with the type of experience and the minimum years post registration</p> <p>0 – If the person is not an architectural professional</p>	100
2.	Civil			20	
	2.1	<p>Provide a copy of the CV and qualifications of a Civil Professional Engineer/Technologist that will be responsible for the Civil works. The Professional Engineer/Technologist must have a minimum 5 years' experience post Professional Registration. This Engineer/Technologist is responsible for the</p>	Tenderer to supply a CV and qualifications of the Civil Engineer that will be the design authority on the project. The Professional Engineer/ Technologist must have	<p>5 – if the engineer/technologist meets the required years and type of experience</p> <p>4 – if the engineer/technologist partially meets the type of experience but still meets the required minimum years post registration</p>	100

		Assessments, Design, and on-site supervision for the entire project.	a minimum 5 years' experience Post Professional Registration.	2 - if the engineers/technologists experience not related fully to scope of work and does not meet the minimum years post registration 0 – If the person is not a Professional Engineer/Technologist	
3.	Electrical			30	
	3.1	Resumé of candidate with Electrical trade test, and Wiremen's licence. A minimum 3 years of related experience.	CV and related qualifications/certificates	0 – CVs of key personal not submitted 2 – CVs submitted however, experience not related fully to scope of work and minimum number of years not met. 4 – Experience related to scope of work, but minimum number of years not met 5 – Experience related to scope of work and minimum number of years met.	65
	3.2	Provides evidence of completing at least 2 projects related to small power and lighting (as per the scopes of work) in the last 5 years.	Verifiable reference letters/completions certificates (not older than 5 years) demonstrating contract value, name of client, contact person, scope and duration of contract.	3 reference letters = 5 2 reference letters = 4 1 reference letters = 2 Not submitted = 0	35
4.	General			20	
	4.1	High-level method statement for the entire civil and architectural works clearly demonstrating compliance and understanding with the full scope of works as listed in the technical specification)	Technical Specification	0 – No Method statement submitted 2 – Method statement submitted but not related to scope of work.	50

				<p>4 – Method statement acceptable but does not cover 100% of the scope of work.</p> <p>5 – Method statement acceptable and related to scope of work.</p>	
	4.2	Tenderer provides evidence of completing a minimum of 3 projects (as per the civil and architectural scopes of work) in the last 5 years. Reference letters to include contact numbers of client, description of involvement in the project and the cost of the project as a minimum.	Technical Specification	<p>0 – (Zero letters submitted or no acceptable similar projects)</p> <p>2 – (1 Letter submitted for acceptable similar projects)</p> <p>4 – (2 Letters submitted for acceptable similar projects)</p> <p>5 – (3 or more Letters submitted for acceptable similar projects)</p>	50
				TOTAL: 100	

3.5 TET MEMBER RESPONSIBILITIES

Table 4: TET Member Responsibilities

Mandatory Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1			X
2		X	
3	X		
Qualitative Criteria Number	TET 1	TET 2	TET 3
1	X		
2		X	
3			X
4	X	X	

3.6 FORESEEN ACCEPTABLE / UNACCEPTABLE QUALIFICATIONS

3.6.1 Risks

Table 5: Acceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
1.	Tenderer scoring between 2 and 4.
2.	tenderer submitting one verifiable reference letters/completions certificates (not older than 5 years).

Table 6: Unacceptable Technical Risks

Risk	Description
3.	Clause 3.3, subclause 1, result yielding a “No”

3.6.2 Exceptions / Conditions

Table 7: Acceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions


Risk	Description
1.	

Table 8: Unacceptable Technical Exceptions / Conditions

Risk	Description
1.	

4. AUTHORISATION

This document has been seen and accepted by:

Name	Designation	Signature
Burton Witbooi	Senior Advisor: Architecture	
Byron Thomas	Engineer: Civil <i>PP - Kameel Burath</i>	<i>KBurath</i>
Kameel Burath	Engineer: Civil	<i>KBurath</i>
Mdu Vilakazi	Engineer: Electrical	<i>M.J. Vilakazi</i>

5. REVISIONS

Date	Rev.	Compiler	Remarks
January 2025	1	BW Thomas	Final

6. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

The following people were involved in the development of this document:

- Burton Witbooi
- Byron Thomas
- Mdu Vilakazi

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

N/A

CONTROLLED DISCLOSURE

When downloaded from the EDMS, this document is uncontrolled and the responsibility rests with the user to ensure it is in line with the authorised version on the system.